

*Office Memorandum* : UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : DDTR

Document No. 848  
EO 12812-2, 12812-3, 12812-4

DATE: 15 May 1957

FROM : C/LAS/TR

Class: CONFIDENTIAL  
Auth: DDA, NOD, 17 MAR 57  
Date: 9/2/74 By:  

SUBJECT: Your memorandum on Late Training Evaluations, dated 9 May 1957

1. In transmitting to you training evaluations for twelve Japanese courses completed on or prior to 13 July 1956, and one Russian course completed 30 September 1956, A & E provided information that it had received the Japanese evaluations during the last week of April 1957 and the Russian evaluations on 3 May 1957.

2. Significant in understanding what appears to be gross negligence are the facts that (a) the dates given by A & E do not represent the dates of first submission, and (b) the A & E report does not reflect, as a cause in the delay of final submission, the A & E request that the original evaluations be redone according to some as-yet-undeveloped form differing from that upon which agreement previously had been reached.

3.   completed his evaluations in late June, two weeks before the course ended. The form used was one developed by A & E   especially for Japanese. They were dispatched from the Quarters Eye area on 19 July to my office. I do not have a record of when they left my office. About mid-October they were received back from A & E with a request that they be recast. A & E suggested using, this time, the form developed for Russian. This was regarded by   as unsuitable. Thereafter, the slow process began of again developing a form that would be as acceptable to A & E as the previous form had been at the time it was agreed upon. In revised form, the evaluations were resubmitted at the times stated by A & E.

4. To be sure, the time from October 1956 to April 1957 seems like a long time. It is understandable, only in recognition of (a) the time-consuming task imposed after October by A & E to develop a new form to meet A & E interests, and (b) the imposition of this additional responsibility upon   who at that period was providing classroom instruction for thirty-one (31) hours a week in addition to all other responsibilities of a language-and-area instructor, and (3) preparation of final copy was an additional burden imposed upon the one and only clerical person supporting the work of six staff employees and eight contract employees.